Secrt. II-27—50,000—23-11-42

Revenue (1943) Department

1145. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1645

Dated the 30-4-1943

[Despatch Abstract.]

IRRIGATION - (South Kanara) - Karkal taluk - Belvai village - S.Nos.145/1 and 300/1 - Interference of the District Board with water supply - Repair of sluice - Estimates - Sanctioned.

Back papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	1803	Rev	27-7-60				
Go	668	Rev	13-3-62				

Further papers

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Legd	1/1342/E	Rev	9-11-43				
Rev	2014	Rev	9/10/44				

~~Retd to records~~

Subsq papers:-

Go 1803 Rev dd 27-7-60) Retd to records 6m 11-5-65

Go 668 Rev dd 13-3-62)

C.F. 32 (a).

Local Administration Department

Received 5-AUG-1942

Registered

From (Name) T.I.S. Mackay, Esq., B.Sc., A.M.I.C.E., M.I.Struct.E.,
Designation Chief Engineer (Commns.),
Station Chepauk, Triplicane P.O., Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 6 AUG 1942

Enclosures: 1 Estimate Copy of letter. Slz.

No. 5369/42-2-B.6, dated 3rd August 1942.

Sub : Irrigation - South Kanara - Karkal taluk - Belvai village - S. Nos. 145/1 and 300/1 - Interference of the District Board with water supply - Repair of sluice - Estimate.

Ref : G.Os. Mos. No. 668, Revenue, dated 13-3-42, and Government Endorsement No. 1013-D/42-1, dated 18-3-1942.

With reference to the orders in para 1 of the G.O. referred to above, I enclose an estimate amounting to Rs.600/-, for reconstructing the irrigation culvert in M.28/3 of Mangalore-Agumbe road (road No. 5) at Belvai village. The District Board Engineer, South Kanara submitted an estimate for Rs.750/- and the Superintending Engineer (Commns.) Southern Circle reduced it to Rs.600/-. I recommend that the estimate be approved for Rs.600/-. A copy

A copy of the report of the Superintending Engineer ~~p 3~~ 3/8
is enclosed. As the old culvert was only 1' 3" x 1' x 3"
the vent now proposed (2' x 2') ought to be ample.

for Chief Engineer (Communications).

6
3/8

TRY TO GOVT

Copy of letter No. R.o.c. No. 2013/42-B., dated 21-7-1942, from the Superintending Engineer (Commns.), Southern Circle, Trichy, to the Chief Engineer (Commns.), Madras.

--

Sub : Irrigation - South Kanara - Karkal Taluk - Belvai village - S. Nos. 145/1 and 300/1 - Reconstruction of the culvert in M. 28/3 of Mangalore-Agumbe road (road No. 5) - Estimate -

Ref : Your Endt. No. 5369/42-1-B.6, dated 31-3-1942.

With reference to the above I enclose herewith an estimate amounting to Rs.600/- for reconstructing the irrigation culvert in M.28/3 of Mangalore-Agumbe road (road No.5) at Belvai submitted by the District Board Engineer with his ref. D.Dis. No. 7149/42, dated 9-7-42.

I inspected the locality and gave instructions to prepare an estimate for a 2' x 2' culvert at the locality in place of the existing 1' 3" x 1' 3". At the instance of the party the District Board Engineer has sent an estimate for 3' x 3' slab culvert. Even if a 3' span is really necessary, 3 feet height seems unnecessary. Two feet will quite suffice, and the estimate is corrected accordingly.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

MEMO. No. 2591-E/42-4 dated 18.9.42.

Irrigation - South Kanara - Karkal taluk - Belvai village - S.Nos. 145/1 and 300/1 - Interference of the District Board with water supply - Repair of sluice - G.O.No.668 Revenue, dated 13-8-1942.

....

From the Chief Engineer,(Communications)No.5369/42-2 B-6 dated 3-8-1942.

.....

2) Sri K.Manjunatha Bhagavatha should be informed that the Government consider that a 3' x 2' vent will be quite sufficient for the irrigation culvert in mile 28/3 of Mangalore-Agumbe road in Belvai village, Karkal taluk, South Kanara district, especially as the existing culvert is only 1'3" x 1'3". He should be asked to state whether he agrees to the reconstruction of the sluice with a 3' x 2' vent. If he agrees the work should be carried out. If he declines a report should

be made to the Government and the Government will then consider whether they will reconstruct the culvert at all.

To

The Collr. of South Kanara

~~Govt~~ To the Chief Engineer.
(Communications) Chepauk
Triplicane P.O., Madras
with pages 5–15 of misc.

21.9.42

4450 E
15.10.42

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
(Revenue Department)

Humble memorial of K. Manjunatha Bhagvatha, son of K. Annappa Bhagvatha, Karkala Village, Karkal Taluk, S. Kanara District.

Karkal,
ated 5th October, 1942.

Most respectfully sheweth,

That in the matter of his complaint made to the Government regarding the damage caused to the sluice underneath the road serving to lead water to his fields S.Nos. 145/1 & 300/1 of Belluvai Village of Karkal Taluk, by the District Board of S. Kanara, in the process of widening the road, the memorialist was compelled to approach the Government twice before to get his redress & the Government were pleased to pass orders first in G.O.No.1803, dated 27-7-40 to the effect that the District Board should rebuild the sluice afresh so as to ensure a free flow of water from the tank and again in G.O. Ms.No 368, dated 13-3-1942 to the effect that the sluice should be rebuilt as directed at the cost of the Government. In the last mentioned order, it was further directed that the execution of the work will be entrusted to the District Board, S. Kanara, which should take steps toe execute the same after getting an estimate for the work prepared by its Engineering Department and sanctioned by the Government. In accordance with this GO order, the memorialist was called upon by the Executive

Officer, Karkal, in his memo F. Dis 1113/KL 42 dated 18-9-'42 (copy enclosed) to give a statement whether a sluice 2 ft high and 2 ft wide will not serve the purpose. As a sluice so narrow as that, underneath a road 35 ft in width will not suffice to admit a free flow of water which should reach and irrigate the two fields (S.Nos 145/1 and 300/1) with a total extent of nearly six acres, and covering a distance of nearly a furlong, the memorialist requested that the dimensions of the sluice intended to be built afresh should be at least 3 ft × 3 ft, so that it may be possible for a person bending double to pass through it, for removing slush or any other substance which is likely to obstruct the free flow of water every now and then. It is quite possible that while water is being baled out from time-to-time for the 2nd rice crop from the tank lying just at the opening of the sluice, mud, sand, or some other substance would get into the sluice and unless the sluice is of the dimensions proposed by the memorialist, it is impossible to remove the slush therefrom. As matters at pre present stand, the water passing from the sluice does not flow freely and if the intended sluice is only 1¼ ft × 1¼ ft, matters will surely not improve, with the result that in less than a year slush will again --- accumulate into the sluice and this cannot be removed even by probing a bamboo or any other stick, as no bamboos or sticks of the road length are available for the purpose.

2. It is thus seen that the intention of the Goverment conveyed in the two orders quoted above is altogether -- frustrated by the subordinate officials much to the inconveniance of the memorialist and damage of crops on accounts of insufficient water-supply, particularly for the 2nd rice crop,

owing to the propsed narrow passage of the sluice to be built afresh.

3. The memorialist begs to point out that the portion of the tank, appropriated for the sake of widening the road is likely to slip or sink down, as it has been built with stone superficially i e., not from the solid bed of the tank. As there is mud accumulated beneath the foundation of the raised and appropriated portion, the elevated structure has become loose and is likely to collapse during the next monsoon, if not still earlier. This elevated portion requires to be strengthened immediately.

4. The memorialist therefore prays that the two works relating to the reconstruction of the sluice and of the strengthening of the elevated structure may be ordered to be taken up soon, as whole matter is hanging fire for the last five years or more and the memorialist is being put to heavy loss on account of either damage or failure of crops all these years.

Your most obedient servant,

Memorialist.

To,

Memo

Sri K. Manjunath Bhagvatha
Belvai.

F Dis 1143/KL 42

He is hereby informed that the Chief Engineer has ordered to adopt a Ventway of $1\frac{1}{4}$ ft $\times$ $1\frac{1}{4}$ ft for the irrigation culvert in mile 98/2 of Mangalore-Agumba --- road No 5 for irrigating his fields in S.Nos 145/1 & 300/1 of Belvai Village.

(Sd.) Illigible
18-9-'42.

L. F. S. O. Karkala.

(True copy)

( )

Memorialist.

13

L.Dis.No. 7068-42.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated: 26-10-1942.

From

Karamatullah, Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

30 OCT 4745 E

29 OCT 1942

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Madras.

Sir,

IRRIGATION - (South Kanara) - Karkal Taluk - Belvai Village - S.Nos.145/1 and 300/1 - Interference of the Dt.Board with water --- supply - Repair of sluice.

Reference: Govt. Memo No. 2891-2/42-4, dated 16-9-1942.

Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha does not agree to have the culvert reconstructed with a 3' x 3' vent. He states that this vent will be insufficient for the free flow of water to his lands, that a vent 3' x 3' is necessary and that he has submitted a memorial to Government in this respect on 5-10-1942.

I passed a draft in Kutcha today. Do not issue

for Collector.

1/
14-11-42

C (B
Recd post
6/8
13.11.42

Memo No. 2591 E/42-8 dt 13-11-42

Irrigation - (South Kanara) - Karkal taluk -
Belvai village - SNos. 145/1 & 300/1 -
Interference of ~~the~~ - with water supply -
~~Repair of Sluice~~ Reconstruction of culvert.
Petition from Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagwatha dt 5-10-42
From the Collector of South Kanara L Dis No. 7368-42 dt 26-10-42

The petitioner is informed that as the existing vent of the irrigation culvert in mile 28/3 of Mangalore - Agumbe road at Belvai is only ~~1'3" x 1'3"~~ 1'3" by 1'3", the Govt. consider a vent of 3'x2' to be more than sufficient and are not prepared to reconstruct the culvert with a vent of ~~3'x3'~~ 3'x3'. If the

16

petitioner does not agree to a ~~reduced~~ vent of 3'x2' the Govt. will not reconstruct the culvert at all. The petitioner is therefore requested to reply within 15 days from the date of receipt of this memo. whether he agrees to the reconstruction of the culvert with a vent of 3'x2'. The reply should be submitted through the Collector of South Kanara

K.P.
3.11.42

3.11.42

To
The Srik. Manjunatha Bhagawatha
Son of K. Annappa Bhagawatha
Kankal village, Kankal taluk,
South Kanara Dt.

Copy to the Collector of South Kanara

" Chief Engineer (Communications) Ootacamund
in continuation of Govt. memo. No. 2591 E/42-6 dated 18.9.42.

17

2261E 5261E

2 DEC 1942

1 - DEC 1942

23 NOV 1942

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Revenue Department.

Humble memorial of Manjunatha Bhagavath, son of Annappa Bhagavatha, Karkal Kasba village, Karkal Taluk, South Kanara Dt.

Dated 20th November,

Irrigation - (South Kanara) - Karkal Taluk - Belvai village - S.Nos 145/1 and 300/1 - Interference with water supply - Reconstruction of culvert - Vent of 3' height x 2' breadth agreed.

Ref:- Memorandum No.2591E/42-8, dated 13-11-1942.

---oOo---

Most respectfully Sheweth,

That in reference to the G.O. quoted above the ---- memorialist humbly begs to state that he has no objection to the reconstruction of the culvert by Government with a Vent of 3 feet height and 2 feet width so as to admit of a person bent double to get into the culvert from time to time for removing slush or any other substance which is likely to obstruct the free flow of water passing through the culvert.

Your most Obedient Servant.

ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ವಂಜಾಲ ೨೩ ನವಂಬರ

Memorialist.

Submitted through the Collector of South Kanara as directed in the G.O: quoted above.

Karkal,
20th November, '42.

ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ವಂಜಾಲ ೨೩ನವಂಬರ

Memorialist.

Ref. C.No. 9143-42-B.3.

Submitted to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras, in continuation of this office Lr.No. 7368-42 dated 26-10-42.

K. Karamatullah 26/11/42

Collector

23.11.42 26/11/42

B
24.12.42

C(B) Ret
Rd 24 + Ret
EM
22.1.43

7
23-12-

Memo. No. 2591 E/42-9 dt 22-12-42

Irrigation — South Kanara — Karkal taluk — Poolvai village — Bros 145/1 + 300/1 — Interference with water supply — Reconstruction of culvert —

From the Chief Engineer (Communications) No 5369/42-2B- dt 3-8-42.

Rd.	Returned	Retd.
23-1-43	8-2-43	10-2-43
2-3-43	15-3-43	15-3-43

The attention of the Chief Engineer (Communications) is invited to the correspondence ending with Government memo. No. 2591 E/42-8 dt 13-11-42 (In reply to that memo) Shri K. Manjunatha Bhatt has now stated that he has no objection to the reconstruction of the culvert with a vent of 3 feet height and 2 feet width. The ~~proposal~~ Superintending Engineer (Communications), Southern Circle in his letter to the C E (Communications) No. 2013/42-B dt 7-1-43 has stated (forward) that a vent of 2 feet height

and 3 feet width would suffice. The Chief Engineer is requested to state whether (with reference to his the latest letter from Sri Bhagavath) there is any objection to the reconstruction of the culvert with the dimensions specified herein

Reconstructed as requested by Sri Kothandaramaiah the C. E. considers that there is no objection to do so)
Kothandaramaiah. He is also requested to submit

Plan and estimates for the approval of the Government. To

KHC
17.12.42

17.12.42

The Chief Engineer (Communications) (with a copy of P.176) by copy to the Collector of South Kanara.

21

Rev Cw 40 E

4.1.43

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Madras

Manjunatha Bhagavatha, son of Annappa Bhagavatha residing at Salmar, Karkal Village, Karkal Taluk, South Kanara District } Petitioner.

The petitioner begs to request that a copy of G.O. No. 668, Revenue (W) 23-2-42 dated 13.3.1942 passed on his petition dated 6.7.1941 (W) 11-3-42 may be kindly ordered to be granted to him for information. One copy stamp paper is herein enclosed for taking the copy.

Karkal
29th December 1942

Petitioner.

U.17. No. 2591E/42-10 Revenue Deptt 7-1-43

Records - G.O. No. 668 Rev dt 13.3.42 - grant of a copy.

Application from Sri Manjunatha Bhagavatha dt 29.12.42

The search fee payable on the application is Re 1-0-0 (Rupee one only). The petitioner should submit the balance of the search fee viz. Re 0-14-0 (Annas fourteen only) in the shape of court fee stamps.

2. The applicant is also informed that if it is decided to grant a copy (of the G.O. applied for) he should furnish one more copy stamp paper

To
Sri Manjunatha Bhagavatha
Son of Annappa Bhagavatha
residing at Salmar,
Karkal village, Karkal taluk
South Kanara district

22 32/221

C 17 Re Appeal Copy papers pasted 22.1.43

2 Copy Records – GO No 668 Rev dg 13.3.42 – Grant of a copy – Revenue Deptt – #23-24 dg (W)

1 21 and 22 supra Application – letter from Sri Manjunatha Bhagavatha dg 29-12-42 & 12.1.43.

Enclt No 2591E/42-11 dg 22-1-43

A Copy of Go No. 668 Rev dg 13 3.42 is furnished #23-24 dg (W) to the applicant.

28.1.43 20.1.43 21/1/43

To

Sri Manjunatha Bhagavatha,

Son of Annappa Bhagavatha,

residing at Salemar,

Karkal village, Karkal taluk,

(with copy of Go - typed on copy stamp paper)

C.13 Should send the fair copies to the Under Secretary for being certified as true copies & despatch in envelope superscribed "Certified Copies – Service unpaid].

Ack with enclo 29/1/43

para 643 (2)(c)(i) Gt p 325 of Bk 42

13 Cost of Correction at p 225 of Bk 42



ENT O

e Dep

42-10

.No.6

42- G



SECRETARIAT
18 JAN 1943
29 DEC 1943

Ref:- Application from Sri Manjunatha Bhagavathar dated the 29th December 1942.

The search fee payable on the application is Re.1/- (Rupee one only). The petitioner should submit the balance of search fee viz.Re.0-14-0 (Annas fourteen only) in the shape of Court fee stamps.

2. .....

2. The applicant is also informed that if it is decided to grant a copy of the G.O. applied for he should furnish one more copy stamp paper.

S.W.C.DUNLOP,
Under Secretary to Government.

To Sri Manjunatha Bhagavatha,
of Annappa Bhagavatha, residing at Salmar, Karkal Village, Karkal Taluk, South Kanara Dt.

FORWARDED/BY ORDER.

SUPERINTENDENT. 8/1/43

GA.7/1.

M23-24 of (W) in file

Resubmitted with two copy stamp labels of the total value of Re.-14- (as fourteen only) and one copy stamp paper called for in the above order.

2. The copy of the G/O. already applied for in my application dated 1942 may be kindly granted and sent to me at an early date.

Karkal
13 January 1942.

Petitioner.

From

T.I.S.Mackay, Esq., B.Sc.,
A.M.I.C.E., M.I., Struct E
Chief Engineer (Commns).,
Chepauk, Triplicane P.O.,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Madras.

Lr. No. 356/42-8 dated 29-3-43.

Received Registered 1943 SECRETARIAT 1584 E APR 4 APR 1943 SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Sub:-Irrigation-South Kanara-Karkal Taluk-Belvai village-S.Nos.145/1 and 300/1-Interference with water supply-Reconstruction of culvert.

Ref:-Government Memo No.2591-E/42-9. Rev.dated 22-12-42.

There is no objection to the reconstruction of the culvert with a vent of 3 feet height and 2 feet width.

2. A revised estimate for Rs.830/- for the work is enclosed. The increase in cost by Rs.280 (Original estimate Rs.600) is due partly to the change of the dimension of the vent to x 3' and largely to the round increase in rates

for Chief Engineer (Comms)

NB.31/3


ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4 APR 1943
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

4/30-4

Read again:- G.O.No. 668-Rev dated 13.3.42 (W) pp 23-24 (Revenue Deptt)

Read also:- From the ~~Board of Revenue~~ Chief Engineer (Communications) No. 5369/428-B dated 29.3.43.

G.O.Ms.No. 1645 dated 30.4.43.

The revised estimate for Rs 830 (Rupees eight hundred and thirty only) submitted with the Chief Engineer's letter quoted above for the reconstruction of the shed sanctioned in G.O.No. 668 Rev dated 13.3.42 is approved. (W) pp 23-24

The work should be carried out in accordance with the instructions in G.O.No. 668 Rev dated 13.3.42 (W) pp 23-24 and a report should be submitted to the Govt. after completion of the work as ordered in para 2 of that G.O. p 24

By Order of the Governor,

V. Ramakrishna
Secretary to Government

To
The Chief Engineer (Communications) with the estimate (E)
" O.D.B., South Kanara through CAD
" Board of Revenue
" Collector of South Kanara
" A.G. through Finance

9.4.43 9.4.43

12/4/43

CRS-2591 dated 25.4.43 for 30/4 (1)

Draft dtd 25.4.43

Connection Ltr nil dated 25-4-43

Call extract as C.B.E 397/43 dated 15.10.43

C.B.E for 15.10.43

Rs 830 with cards 1.5.43

Revenue Dept.

Notes.

Irrigation – South Kanara – Karkal taluk – Belvai village Sy Nos 145/1 and 300/1 – Interference of the District Board with water supply – Repair of drain – Estimate.

C No. 259 L Ex 42 Cf From the Chief Engineer (Communications) letter No. 5369/B.6 of 3.6.42 to DS.

Please see the orders in Gos Nos 1803 Rev of 23.7.40 & 668 Rev of 13.3.42 & the estimates in the Cf. pp 36-38 (R) pp 23-24 (W)

2. The size of the vent now proposed for the culvert by the Superintending Engineer is 3'x2' & not 2'x2' as stated by the Chief Engineer. It is for orders whether the estimate will be approved for Rs 600/- as recommended.

In this connection it is submitted that the grievance of Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha that the free flow of water to his fields has been affected by the damage done to the culvert in question arose from the action of the District Board in widening the road. It was considered by Legal Secretary in January this year that a suit against the Dt. Board by K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha will be time barred K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha has now agreed to the reconstruction of the culvert if the waterway is 3'x3'. If at the instance of the Govt. the culvert is now reconstructed with a waterway of 3'x3' it will presumably be open to Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha to file a suit against the Govt (or the Dt. Bd) within three years of reconstruction if he considers that even after reconstruction the flow of water is not free. It is therefore for consideration whether a revised the Dt. Bd Engineer should be asked to obtain a revised statement from Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha stating that he has no objection to

pp 36-37 of (R)

pp 6 of (W)

pp 2 cf

pp 4 cf.

pp 3+5 cf

pp 1 of (W)

pp 5 cf

pp 1 of (W)

f. Fwd A. Sec. 1. at pp 5-6 of (W)

reconstruct the culvert if the venturay is 3'x2'.

3 The file may be sent to O&D, LAD & Finance for remarks. It is also for consideration whether the remarks of Legal will be limited as to whether Sri Bhagavatha will have a cause of action against the Govt if the vent is not reconstructed to his satisfaction.

4.8.42 Legal may see. Steps may be taken to get a statement agreeing to 3'x2'. ~~If the party objects~~, the party may be told, if he is not willing to agree, that the Govt consider this adequate, & if he does not accept, they will not reconstruct the culvert at all"

25.8.42

PW*

LA

Legal

Fin

RETARY TO GOVT
V
27 AUG 1942
2635
WORKS EXPANSION

Revno 2591/E/42-3
25.8.42

O.W.S.

P.W.D

Please see the note from p 1 ante. It is for consideration whether the views of D.S. Rev may be agreed to.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY I
SEP 1942
5749
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

The file may be passed on to L.A.

BI

Rev Revno 2217 V/42
31-8-42

28/8/42

29/8/42

LA.

31 AUG 1942
24270

L.A.(F)

The suggestion of the D.S. Revenue may be agreed to in this dept and the file passed on to Legal w.o

AM.

1/9/42

1.9.42

1/9/42

L.A. W.O. 24270/42
5.9.42

Legal dept:

The party need only be told that the Govt. consider a 3' x 2' vent quite sufficient, especially as this (old) culvert was only 1'3" x 1'3", and he may be asked whether he agrees to the construction of a vent as proposed. It is not perhaps necessary to hold out (at this stage) the threat that nothing will be done (further) if he does not agree. If the party insists on a 3'x3' vent, the further action to be taken may be considered. It is not very likely that the party will refuse to accord his consent

10/9/42

Regal no 5749-1
7.9.42.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
9 SEP 1942
FINANCE

Fin no 1284
13.9.42

Rev

10.9.42

3481E
15 SEP 1942

13.9.42

Rev

7.in (Exp.)

7.in. may agree with Rev. D.S. proposals subject to the remarks of the Legal dept. above.

Rev
13.9.42

Rev

Revenue Deptt.

To DS:-

Please see the notes from p 1 ante. A draft memo. is submitted for approval.

Revised. Issue PW & Fin may see after issue

16.9.42

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT.
23 SEP 1942
3180
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

16.9.42 issue

18.9.42

Issued as Memo. 2591E/42 dt 21.9.42

PW
Fin
Rev
after issue

Annexure 2591E/42.5

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
23 SEP 1942
FINANCE DEPT.

Seen in Rev.

23/9/42

Fin

14280

Fin (Estbs)

(various finance matters on this subject)

The ~~question of the measures to be taken which~~ on page 5-6 cf

~~has been raised~~, may be, sanctioned and

the Case returned by Rev.

3/ 5-9-42 RD 28.9.42

Rev

(Rev)

Fin's no 1420 Rev

From Sri K. Narasimha B Nagarkatte petition dt 5-6-42 at pp 7 to 9 cf.

To Dr: Please see the petition at pp 7-9 cf. 42934

2. The petitioner requests:-

(i) that the sluice referred to in GO No. 668 Rev dt 13-3-42 (para 1, 2 & 4 at pp 7-9 cf) (pp 23-24 cf) should be repaired forthwith with a vent of 3'x3'; (p 8 cf)

(ii) that the portion of the tank which has been raised by the District Board in order to widen the road should be strengthened.

3. Item (i) in para 2 above. The Govt. have ordered that the petitioner (pp 5-6 cf) should be asked whether a 3'x2' vent is not sufficient. Pending further report no action is necessary.

4. Item (ii) in para 2 above. The request that the portion of the road raised from the tank should be strengthened is new. (para 3 at p 9 cf & vide petns at pp 1-4 & 11-34 cf) Obviously the petitioner had only stated that the widening of the road had reduced the size of the tank. The road is protected by a masonry embankment built by the Dt. Bd. & if the embankment (para 3 at p 8 cf) (para 3 at p 26 cf) collapses is feared by the petitioner the District Board will not. (R)

(that the matter is one on which the pets should address the Dt Bd direct that as they doubt repair it. It seems unnecessary for the Govt. to ~~of~~ interfere. For orders whether the report referred to in para 3 above may be awaited.

19 OCT 1942

Fin 4/Rev

23684

Rev no 2591/E, 405

16-10-42

16-10-42

We may await report on item (i)

As for item (ii) he may address the Dt Bd

17.10.42

17.10.42

17.10.42

2/A

LA 29/1-(F)

Rev D.S.'s suggestion at M on p. 4 above may be agreed to.

The file may be returned to Rev.

4636E
24 OCT

21/10/42

Secy

4-10-42

4 to Ld
Rev
Revenue Department

W.O. No. 29084-1F
23.10.42

~~Todo~~ ~~A copy may be sent to the petitioner with reference to Govt memo~~ ~~of Govt on receipt of the report, the proposals in Govt memo~~.

p/ 5-6 cf ~~AA 2591/42-4 of 15.9.42~~.

~~Ld~~ To DS. A draft memo. to the petitioner is submitted for approval. 30.10.42

Ld 29.10.42
IS 29/10/42

p 13 cf Issued ~~From~~ From the ~~Com~~ Collector of South Kanara No 7368-4 of 26.4.42

To DS

Please see the Collector's letter at p 13 cf. The ~~draft~~ memo. to the petitioner has been withheld with reference to D.S's orders at p. 13 cf.

2. It is for orders whether in ~~the circumstances stated~~ view of the fact that the petitioner has not agreed to a vent of 3'×2', he will be informed that the culvert will not be reconstructed to that dimension - pl see in this Connection ~~unless he agrees~~ / Legal deptt's note at p 3 ante.

~~The petition may also be rejected as at H on p 4 ante.~~ File may be sent to Legal dept for remarks

IS 31.10.42

He may be told that as the existing seat is only 1'3" by 1'3". The Govt consider a seat of 2' x 3' to be more than sufficient. are not prepared to construct a seat of 3' x 3'. If the Petr does not agree to have a seat of 3' x 2', the Govt will not reconstruct the seat at all. He should reply within 15 days. Draft

AGP 2.11.42

To Ds.

A draft memo. is submitted for approval. L.A.D & Legal may see before issue.

AGP 6.11.42 LA

Legal need not be bothered AGP

Rev no 2591E/6

4.11.42

LA Dept

May be perused & the file returned 30976 Sec

Rev.

Sec 7/11/42.

Sec Rev 9-11-42 Rev

Secy AGP 13.11.42

L.D. No. 30976-1F

11.11.42

Issued as memo. No. ~~2577~~ 2591E/42-8 Rev dt 13.11.42 to 11-15-16 dt

from the Collector of South Kanara Rly. C No. 9143/42-B3 dt 26.4.42 to 17-6 dt

Revenue Deptt

To RS

Please see Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavathi's reply at

GOVT. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4912 E
12 NOV 1942
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

p17 cf. The petitioner has agreed to the reconstruction of the culvert

p7 ! with a vent of 3 feet height and 2 feet width whereas the

proposal of the Superintending Engineer was to reconstruct it with a

p3 cf. vent of 2 feet height and 3 feet ~~wide~~ width. It is for orders whether

the Chief Engineer (Communications) should be moved whether the

construction of the culvert with a vent of 3 feet height and 2 feet

(will be better)
width / ~~+~~ whether there is any objection to have the culvert

reconstructed as desired by the petitioner. p17 cf

2. O/W D/f L.A.D may see for remarks.

5-12-42 5-12-42

It does not appear to be necessary to ask P.W.D. or L.A.D for remarks.

A The Chief Engineer may be asked if the culvert can be made as requested by the petitioner 3 ft high . 2 ft broad

S

~~Do not take up the matter with the~~

Tell him that as he has not agreed to the proposals made on receipt of the S Gall- permit under the proposal to construct a culvert will be dropped.

AW
8.12.42

Govt did not clearly specify whether the vent was to be 3 ft high or 2 ft high. The petitioner was informed that Govt considered that a vent 3'x2' was enough. p3 cf

The Chief Engineer on personal inspection insisted at 3'x3' and considered that even if 3' ft breadth could be allowed 2 ft height was not sufficient. It was

not worry more to allow 3 'ft height . 2' breadth then ~~[illegible]~~ vice versa. The petitioner has always wanted it 3 'ft high so that someone could enter the cubicle to clean out the slush. His reply is p 17 is an acceptance of Govts proposal for 3'×2' and it is not certain that he realised that what was really meant was 3' broad and 2' high.

Govt
11.12.42

S

Very well - as at A on p 7/c

Ans
14.12.42

T.S. A draft memo. is submitted for approval.

KMO
17-12-42

17-12-42

Issued as memo. No. 2591E/42-9 of 22-12-42 ~~11/13-20~~ p.

From Manjunatha Bhagavatha petition of 29.12.42 p21/c

Please see the application at p 21/c.

T.S. ~~Please~~ The application is for a copy of document of last year & should therefore be accompanied by a search fee of Re 1-0-0. The petitioner has furnished ~~one~~ stamp of the value of 2 annas only. He may be requested to furnish court fee stamps of the value of fourteen annas more.

p21/c

2. If the applicant furnishes the court fee stamps referred to in para 1 above the question whether a copy can be granted will have to be considered. Presumably no objection will be taken to grant the copy, as a copy ~~has already been~~ marked for the applicant ~~in the departmental~~ & despatched

as it has already been communicated to the applicant. If so the applicant will have to furnish two copy stamped papers at the rate of 1 paper for every 175 words as the number of words in the G.O. including the subject matter & address entry comes to 317. The petitioner has furnished only one copy stamped paper. He may therefore be informed that if it is decided to grant a copy of the G.O. to him, he will have to furnish one more copy stamped paper.

3. Under (paras 494 & 504 of the Madras) Secretariat Office Manual Copy stamp papers received as enclosures should be delivered to the Superintendent of the C.C.B. for safe custody. As the C.C.B. is in Madras & as the copy stamp paper involved is only one (of 3 annas) it may be retained in section itself. ~~Subject to orders on para 4.~~ Draft memo. is submitted at p21 of with reference to paras 1 & 2 above.

6.1.43 6.1.43

Issued as memo. No. 259/E/42-10 of 7.1.43

From Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha of 12.1.43

To us Please see the reply of Sri K. Manjunatha Bhagavatha at p24 of. He has furnished the necessary Search fee and also the necessary copy stamp papers. As a copy of Go 668 Rev of 13.3.42 has already been communicated to the applicant there does not seem to be any objection to grant a certified copy now. Subject to orders draft memo. is submitted at p22 of.

20.1.43 15 20.1.43

Issued as memo. No. 259/E/42-11 of 22.1.1943.

From the Chief Engineer (Communications) Bhopal No. 5769/42-A of 27.3.43

P.W.S. Please see the Chief Engineer's reply at p 25 c/f. The file relates to the reconstruction of the sluice sanctioned in G.O. No 668 P.W. dt 13-3-42. The Chief Engineer originally submitted an estimate for Rs reconstructing the sluice with a vent of 3 feet breadth & 2 feet height. In view of the letter of Sri K. Rangiratha Bhagavatha at p 17 c/f the Chief Engineer was asked whether there is any objection to reconstruct the sluice with a vent of 2 feet breadth & 3 feet height & if there is no objection, to submit revised estimates. The Chief Engineer has now stated that there is no objection to the reconstruction of the sluice as suggested. It is for orders whether the revised estimate for Rs 830 (which is Rs 230 above the previous estimate which is due partly to the change of the dimension of the vent & largely to the all-round increase in rates) will be accepted. Subject to orders a draft order is submitted for approval It may be sent to O.W.D, L.A.D & Finance for O.W.D, L.A.D & Finance may see for acceptance.

Secy

9.4.43 9.4.43 P.W.S. L.A., Fin. 13/4/43.

O.W.S.

O.W.D Rev. w.g.no. 2591 B/43

The draft order may be accepted and the file passed on to L.A.

15/4/43 L.A.

14/4/43 15/4/43

L.A. Deptt.

The draft order may be accepted in this deptt. and the file passed on to Finance u.o.

9/4/43 21.4.43

Secy Fin 24.4.43 Fin Fin (Sp/B)

The draft order may be accepted and the file returned to Revenue

28/4/43. Rev Rev

25/4/43

UNDER SECY 14 APR 1943 15 2108 P.W. no. 2108 B/43 9/15-4-43

L.A. Deptt. 16 APR 1943 9366

Asst. 26 APR 1943 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 1960E 29 APR 1943 REVENUE DEPARTMENT